Working Group 4 of the Life+ Project "Sanctioning: prosecution and judicial practice"

Dr. Carole M. Billiet, chair WG4 ENPE & EUROJUST Conference on Environmental Crime The Hague 28-30 October 2019

LIFE14 GIE/UK/000043





Overview

1. WG4: profile

- 1.1. One WG amidst four
- 1.2. Team: countries, functions and courts
- 1.3. Working topics

2. Some observations and recommendations

- 2.1. What prosecutors most need
- 2.2. Within >< outside the EU
- 2.3. Combine structures and people
- 2.4. Generalist and a specific tools
- 3. Conclusions
- 4. Sources





1. WG 4: profile





1.1. One WG amidst four

Subject-focused WG's WG 1 Wildlife WG 2 Waste WG 3 Chemicals (Air)

Overarching view WG 4 Sanctioning: Prosecution and judicial *practice*

> Issues hindering efficient and effective prosecution and adjudication

1.2. Team: countries, functions and courts

Member	Country	Prosecutor	Judge
Chair Carole M. Billiet	Belgium		Administrative green court, punitive
Sara Boogers	Belgium	Х	
Ksenija Dimec	Croatia		County court (court of appeal), civil department
Katerina Weissova	Czech Republic	Х	
Marc Clement	France		Cour administra- tive d'appel Lyon
Françoise Nési	France		Cassation, ch. pénale; [civil]
[Wanja Welke &] Anja Wuest	Germany (Hessen)	Х	
[Jegor Cekanovskis	Latvia	X]	
Lucia Giron	Spain	Х	

1.3. Working topics

- 2016-2017 Interim Report 1
 - Difficulties, trends and good practices in prosecution and sanctioning
 - Proportionality in prosecution and sentencing: an exploration through gravity factors
- 2017-2018 Interim Report 2
 - Good practices in prosecution : focus on international cooperation
 - Good practices in prosecution and adjudication: focus on specialisation among prosecutors and courts/judges
- 2018-2019 Ongoing
 - Tools and strategies for remedial action at the pre-trial and trial stage

2. Some observations and recommendations





2.1. What prosecutors most need

"What prosecutors most need regarding international cooperation İS accurate information delivered in a reasonable time and the swift execution of requests for investigative measures."

2.2. Within >< outside the EU

Within the EU

- A toolkit that suffices to meets the needs: the <u>EIO</u> (2017), EFO (2015), EAW (2002) + EUROJUST (+ JIT's) and EJN
- Policy: consolidation
- Daily: mostly EU MS only

Outside the EU

- A toolkit that needs additional tools: MLA requests mainly (+ JIT's)
- Policy: an issue in need of progress
- Daily: less frequently needed but when needed, key

2.3. Combine structures and people

Structural support

- National level
- EU level (Eurojust)

Individual one-to-one contacts

 Meeting and networking possibilities

 Lists specialised environmental prosecutors (open access) (ENPE?) (Eurojust)

2.4. Generalist and a specific tools

- An asset that the tools for cooperation in environmental cases are the very same as those for cooperation in all types of crossborder crime
 - Links with other types of crime such as money laundering and forgery
 - Matters for further development mechanisms and tools
- Yet, a specific tool enabling evidence to be secured in CITES-related cases
 - Birds eggs, animals, lab analyses etc.

3. Conclusions

1/ Tools / toolkits matter

2/ Create structures but never forget people (1to-1 contacts)

3/ Practice grows on facilities – the issue of the way of the lowest resistance (thus of lowering resistance)

4. Sources

See

https://www.environmentalprosecutors.eu/crosscutting

https://www.environmentalprosecutors.eu/sites/d efault/files/document/LIFE-ENPE_WG4_2nd%20Stg%20IR%202019.pdf

<u>https://www.environmentalprosecutors.eu/sites/d</u> <u>efault/files/document/LIFE-</u> <u>ENPE_WG4_InterimReport_FINAL.pdf</u>

ENPE Thank you

LIFE14 GIE/UK000043



